

HOUSTON BRANCH 10625 FALLSTONE RD.

	HOUSTON, TEXAS 77099				
			Ref.	Case No.	<u> </u>
			Site	Name Gu	Iton Ind.
Date:	3/24/9	Q		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Subject:	CLP pata Revie	W	•		
From:	Michael L. Dag	gett, Chief, C	rganic	Lab Sec	ction; 6E-HL
To:	L. Ross, 6	H-MA	· .	,	
	of the laborato leted by member ere:				
INORGANIC	: <u>SF1306</u>	SF1317		<u> </u>	
	1307	1318			· <u>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · </u>
٠.	1309	1319			
	1315				
	1316				
ORGANIC:		· .			
				-	
:	1		is.		
		-	· · ·		

The data was found:

- () Acceptable
- (X)Provisional; use of data requires caution. Problems are noted in Review Summary.
- Unacceptable; data should not be used. Problems are noted () in Review Summary.

Questions regarding the review can be addressed to me.

Attachments

cc: Mahmoud El-Feky, 6E-HL Mike Hiatt, EMSL/Las Vegas

90067494



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

HOUSTON BRANCH 10625 FALLSTONE RD. HOUSTON, TEXAS: 77099

MEMORANDUM

3-14-1992

Subject:

CLP Data Review

Mahmoud El-Feky/ 6E-HO, Region 6

To:

Michael Daggett, Chief, Organic Section, Houston

Branch, Region 6

Attached is the data review summary for Case #_SAS 6970

SDG # SF1306 Site

GULTON INDUSTRIES

Data was found: () Acceptable

(X) Provisional

() Unacceptable

Action required by TPO: () Yes

(X) No

Ţ.

COMMENTS:

MANTECH ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY, INC.

ESAT REGION VI

c/o U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY 10625 FALLSTONE ROAD HOUSTON, TEXAS 77099

MEMORANDUM

Date:

March 23, 1992

To:

Mahmoud E. El-Feky, Chemist, 6E-HO, Region VI

From:

Youree Holloway, ESAT, Region VI

Thru:

Bill Blanton, ETM, ESAT, Region VI

Subject:

CLP Data Review

Attached is the data review summary for Case # SAS6970F

SDG # <u>SF1306</u>

Site

Gulton Industries

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION VI

HOUSTON BRANCH 10625 FALLSTONE ROAD HOUSTON, TEXAS 77099

INORGANIC REGIONAL DATA ASSESSMENT

SAMPLE NO.: SF1306, SF1307, SF1309, SF1315, SF1316, SF1317, SF1318, SF1319

DATA ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

		ICP	ALK	IC	F	TDS
1.	HOLDING TIMES	0	<u> </u>	0	_0_	_0_
2.	CALIBRATIONS		_0_	_0_	O	· <u> </u>
3.	BLANKS	<u>_X</u> _	_0_	_0_	_0_	_ 0_
4.	ICS	0 0				
5.	LCS	_0_	O		_0_	0
6.	DUPLICATE ANALYSIS	_0_	<u> </u>	_O_	O	_0_
7.	MATRIX SPIKE	O	_0_	_0_	_0_	_ 0_
8.	MSA	•				
9.	SERIAL DILUTION	_0_				
10.	SAMPLE VERIFICATION	<u>_X</u>	<u>X</u>	<u>X</u>	<u>X</u>	0
11.	OTHER QC		<u>_X</u>	_0_		_0_
12.	OVERALL ASSESSMENT	<u> </u>	<u>X</u>	<u>_X</u>	<u>X</u>	_0_

- O = Data had no problems/or qualified due to minor problems.
- M = Data qualified due to major problems.
- Z = Data unacceptable.
- X = Problems, but do not affect data.
- N/A = Not applicable

ACTION ITEMS: Blank concentrations were above the instrument detection limits.

AREAS OF CONCERN:

NOTABLE PERFORMANCE: Duplicate and serial dilution differences met technical quality control criteria. Matrix spike recoveries were within limits. Case narrative was well written and informative.

INORGANIC QA REVIEW CONTINUATION PAGE

Case <u>SAS6970F</u> SDG <u>SF1306</u> Site <u>Gulton Ind.</u> Lab <u>Keystone/NEA (OR)</u>

Comments: Eight water samples were analyzed at low concentrations for chloride, nitrate, sulfate, fluoride, alkalinity, calcium, magnesium, potassium, sodium, and TDS. The data package is provisional because blank concentrations were above the instrument detection limits.

1. Holdings Times

All holding time criteria were met.

2. Calibrations

All calibrations were acceptable.

3. Blanks

A. Preparation Blanks

The concentrations of calcium and sodium in the preparation blanks were above the instrument detection limits (IDL) but less than the contract required detection limits (CRDL). Sample results greater than the IDL but less than five times the amount in any blank should be qualified as undetected (U).

B. All other blank results were acceptable.

4. ICS

Interference check sample criteria were met.

5. LCS

All laboratory control sample results were acceptable.

6. Duplicate Analysis

All duplicate results met technical quality control criteria.

7. Matrix Spike

All analytes had acceptable pre-digestion matrix spike recoveries.

8. MSA

Not applicable.

9. Serial Dilutions

All serial dilution results met quality control criteria.

10. Sample Verification

- A. The initial CRDL for magnesium on page 14, should be 9.49 mg/L, not 9.68 mg/L (page 56).
- B. The calcium serial dilution results should be 61.86 mg/L (I) and 62.08 mg/L (S), not 123.71 mg/L (I) and 124.15 mg/L (S). The dilution factor should not be used when reporting serial dilutions.

11. Other QC

The alkalinity results are qualified as estimated (J) because the raw data was not submitted.

12. Overall Assessment

The data package is provisional because blank concentrations were above the instrument detection limit. All other technical requirements were met.

Contract Laboratory Program REGIONAL/LABORATORY COMMUNICATION SYSTEM

FAX Record Log

Date of FAX: March 24, 1992

Laboratory Name: <u>Keystone/NEA</u>

Lab Contact: Jeffery Sprenger

Region: $\underline{6}$

Regional Contact: Yourge Holloway (ESAT)

Fax Initiated by: Region

In reference to data for the following sample numbers:

SF1306, SF1307, SF1309, SF1315, SF1316, SF1317, SF1318, SF1319

Summary of Questions/Issues Discussed:

- A. The initial CRDL for magnesium on page 14, should be 9.49 mg/L, not 9.68 mg/L (page 56).
- B. The calcium serial dilution results should be 61.86 mg/L (I) and 62.08 mg/L (S), not 123.71 mg/L (I) and 124.15 mg/L (S). The dilution factor should not be used when reporting serial dilutions. Refer to ILM01.0, B-33.
- C. Custody seals should placed on all data package deliverables.
- D. The following are items we discussed on the telephone:
 - 1. All original raw data, including chromatograms, charts, and instrument printouts, should be submitted with the data package. Refer to ILM01.0, B-9.
 - 2. The SAS request should be submitted with the data package. Refer to SAS request.
 - 3. Sample results on Form I's should be reported to three significant figures if the value is greater than 10 ug/L. Refer to ILM01.0, B-8.

4. Volume of samples used for alkalinity and fluoride is not given in data. Example calculations should be shown.

Summary of Resolutions:

The EPA expects the laboratory to look into items and submit data within ten working days to: U.S. EPA, 10625 Fallstone Road, Houston TX 77099.

Signature

Distribution: (1) Lab Copy, (2) Region Copy, (3) SMO Copy

Mantech Environmental Technology ESAT Region 6

c/o US EPA 10625 Fallstone Rd, Houston, TX 77099 EL: (713) 983-2135

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

Please deliver the following pages to:
Name Jeffery Sprenger
Firm Keystone/NEA
City Tigard State OR
Telephone (503) 624-2773 Ext.
Fax Telephone No. (503) 624-2653 Ext.
Sender:
Name Youree Holloway
Date <u>March 24, 1992</u> Time
Total Number of pages including this Cover Sheet 3
If you do not receive all the pages or if any pages are unclear, please call: (713) 983-2135
Messages:

Fax Model No. Panafax UF-620 Fax No. (713) 983-2248

INORGANIC/ORGANIC COMPLETE SDG FILE (CSF) INVENTORY CHECKLIST

Case SF1306 SDG No.	SDG Nos. To Follow	SAS No. 6970-F	Date Rec	3/3/92
EPA Lab ID: NEA		ORIGINALS	YES	NO N/A
Lab Location:Tigard		CUSTODY SEALS		
Region: 6 Aud	it No.: <u>YLH640</u>	1. Present on package?		x
Re_Submitted CSF? Yes	No <u>X</u>	2. Intact upon receipt?		x
Box No(s): 1		FORM DC-2		
COMMENTS:		3. Numbering scheme accurate?	x	
<u>Lab will be contacted.</u>		4. Are enclosed documents liste	d? X	
		5. Are listed documents enclose	d? X	
		FORM DC-1		
		6. Present?	x	
		7. Complete?	x	
		8. Accurate?	x	
		CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD(s)		
		9. Signed?	х	
		10. Dated?	x	
		TRAFFIC REPORT(s) PACKING LIST(s)		
		11. Signed?	x	
		12. Dated?	x	
	•	AIRBILLS/AIRBILL STICKER		
		13. Present?	x	
		14. Signed?	x	
		15. Dated?	х	
		SAMPLE TAGS		
	•	16. Does DC-1 list tags as being included?	x	
	,	17. Present?	x	
		OTHER DOCUMENTS		
		18. Complete?	х	
		19. Legible?	х	
		20. Original?	х	
Over for additional comments.		20a. If "No", does the copy indicate where original documents are located?		
Audited by: Volume L. Holl	way	YOUREE HOLLOWAY/DATA REVIEWER	Date 3/2	4/92
Audited by:	<u> </u>		Date	
Audited by:			Date	
Sign	iture	Printed Name/Title		
Date Recvd by CEAT:			ed:	
Reviewed by:				
Sign	ature no-	Printed Name/Title		